

| Doc. Nr | TI 49-F |
|-----------|------------|
| Rev. Date | 19.11.2025 |
| Rev. Nr | 10 |
| Page | 1/8 |

1. OBJECTIVE

By this catalog, there are defined measures and consequences by the farmer/producer/processor/trader/formulators(and its subcontractors) has to face within the case of established non-conformities during practicing production/processing /marketing of certified products. This catalog is a part of the GP 15 Procedure. ETKO takes references described in this catalog identifying sanction levels.

2. Issuance and Classification of Nonconformities

ETKO issues and classifies non-conformities as described below.

When a non-conformity is identified during an audit, it is classified according to the levels below.

ETKO applies the specified timeline for each non-conformity based on its level. Shorter timelines may be given when ETKO believes they are justified.

Evaluation of non-conformities is conducted on-site when this is needed for credible evaluation of the nonconformity. The use of alternative technologies (e.g. video conferencing) is considered prior to requiring an additional site visit.

In all cases, if a scope certificate is not issued within 60 days of an initial audit, or if a certificate is suspended for 180 days or until its expiry, whichever is shorter, a new audit is conducted before the organization may become (re-)certified.

In cases of detection involving fraudulent activity, such as making changes to evidence, it is necessary to apply sanctions in accordance with the relevant standard article.

Corrections such as re-supplying this evidence, which has been changed illegally, and terminating the contract signed with the consultancy firm they are working with, are not sufficient to close the non-conformity. Even if there are consultancy organizations with which the Operator has a contract (works under contract), all responsibility for meeting the certification requirements belongs to the Operator and this responsibility cannot be transferred to another company. Especially in case of detected non-conformities in critical issues such as fraudulent activities, it is ensured that appropriate communication is established with the customer by ETKO Certification Department, including those abroad.

Especially with the customers in the countries where our foreign offices are located, ETKO Certification Department either communicate directly or at least make sure that they observe all communications made by the relevant country official.

2.1 Critical Non-Conformity

| Definition | Critical non-conformit | ies rep | resent | serious | failures | to | meet | the |
|---|--|----------|----------|------------|------------|------|---------|------|
| | fundamental principles | of the S | Standard | l. | | | | |
| Applicable Standards | All | | | | | | | |
| Initial Audit | Timeline | | Drior to | cortificat | ion | | | |
| initial Audit | rimeiine | | פווטו נט | certificat | ion | | | |
| | Consequence if not closed Scope certificate is not issued. | | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | f the so | cope cert | ificate ca | anno | t be is | sued |
| PREPAR | PREPARED BY APPROVED BY | | | | | | | |
| QUALITY ASSURANCE RESPONSIBLE QUALITY ASSURANCE MANAGER | | ₹ | | | | | | |



| Doc. Nr | TI 49-F |
|-----------|------------|
| Rev. Date | 19.11.2025 |
| Rev. Nr | 10 |
| Page | 2/8 |

| | | within 60 days of the closing meeting, | |
|--|---|---|--|
| | | certification shall be denied. | |
| Recertification Audit and other types of | Timeline | Immediate | |
| audits/evaluation | Consequence if not closed | Scope certificate is suspended. | |
| | | If a scope certificate remains suspended | |
| | | for 180 days or until its expiry (whichever | |
| | | is sooner), the scope certificate shall be | |
| | | withdrawn. | |
| Requirements | 1. Critical non-conformities | are reserved for requirements identified as | |
| | critical in the Standard, or fo | r intentional fraud. | |
| | 2. The auditor notifies ETKO | immediately when a critical non-conformity | |
| | is identified. | | |
| | 3. When a critical non- | conformity is identified for a certified | |
| | organization, ETKO suspend | s the scope certificate immediately. In all | |
| | cases, the suspension is enac | cted within a maximum of five business days | |
| | from the day the critical n | on-conformity was identified, even if the | |
| | entire audit has not yet been completed. | | |
| | 4. When a scope certificate includes multiple sites (including groups) and a site receives a critical non-conformity, the site may be suspended from the certificate to avoid suspension of the entire scope certificate. (This | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | does not apply to critical non-conformities issued to an Internal Control | | |
| | System.) | | |
| | 5. ETKO immediately notification conformities, including details | fies Textile Exchange of all critical non- ls of the non-conformity. | |
| | 6. ETKO issues any non-o | conformities relating to deliberate fraud | |
| | (including but not limited t | o altering any records related to claimed | |
| | material by the organization | 's personnel) as critical non-conformities to | |
| | criterion C1.5 of CCS 3.0. | | |
| | 7. ETKO issues a critical no | n-conformity, to any organization or site | |
| | where evidence suggests tha | t any of the following practices (collectively, | |
| | the "unacceptable practices" |) are occurring: ccs-102 D5 | |
| | * The worst forms of child la | abor, as defined by ILO C182 – Worst Forms | |
| | of Child Labour Convention, | 1999 (No. 138 and No. 182); or | |
| | * Forced and compulsory lab | oor, as defined by ILO C029 – Forced Labour | |
| | Convention, 1930 (No. 29 and | d No.105). | |
| | NOTE: "Immediately" may r | not be feasible. Also, "business days" may | |
| | depend on location. | | |
| | | d actions within a maximum of 7 calendar | |
| | days from the date of identif | ication. | |

| PREPARED BY | APPROVED BY |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| QUALITY ASSURANCE RESPONSIBLE | QUALITY ASSURANCE MANAGER |



| Doc. Nr | TI 49-F |
|-----------|------------|
| Rev. Date | 19.11.2025 |
| Rev. Nr | 10 |
| Page | 3/8 |

2.2 Major Non-Conformity

| Definition | <u> </u> | ur if, either alone or in combination with | |
|------------------------|--|--|--|
| Deminion | further non-conformities relating to other requirements, they result in | | |
| | or are likely to result in, a fundamental or systematic failure to achieve | | |
| | the objectives of the standards system. | | |
| Applicable Standards | All | as system. | |
| Initial Audit | Timeline | Prior to certification | |
| Illitial Audit | Consequence if not closed | Scope certificate is not issued. | |
| | consequence if not closed | | |
| | | If the scope certificate cannot be issued within 60 days of the closing meeting, | |
| | | certification shall be denied. | |
| Decembification Availt | The elies | | |
| Recertification Audit | Timeline | 30 days from the audit (closing meeting), | |
| and other types of | Comment of the latest | and prior to recertification | |
| audits/evaluation | Consequence if not closed | Scope certificate shall not be reissued. | |
| | | If the 30-day period ends before the | |
| | | certificate expires, it shall be suspended. | |
| | | If a scope certificate is suspended for 180 | |
| | | days or until its expiry (whichever is | |
| | | sooner) the scope certificate shall be | |
| | | withdrawn. | |
| Requirements | | re issued in the following circumstances: | |
| | 1. For requirements that are indicated as major requirements in the | | |
| | Standard. Or | | |
| | 2. For violation of GRS Section C2.3d, C2.3e and C2.3f about | | |
| | Wastewater / Effluent. When the operator did not demonstrate they | | |
| | have been well prepared for certified production by providing the | | |
| | complete list of approved chemicals, SDS' and conformance testing | | |
| | reports for chemical inputs, even though there is not yet any certified | | |
| | production. | | |
| | "shall". | tandard requirement as mentioned with | |
| | 4. Where, either alone | or in combination with further non- | |
| | conformities, the non-con | formity results in or may result in a | |
| | fundamental or systematic | failure to meet the objectives of the | |
| | Standard. This may be indica | ted by non-conformities which: | |
| | a. continue over a long | period of time, | |
| | b. are repeated or syste | matic, | |
| | c. affect a wide area, | | |
| | d. affect the integrity of | the product or the Standard, or | |
| | e. are not corrected identified. | or adequately addressed once they are | |
| | | spended immediately if there are five or | |
| | more open major non-confo | | |
| | ore open major non como | | |

| PREPARED BY | APPROVED BY |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| QUALITY ASSURANCE RESPONSIBLE | QUALITY ASSURANCE MANAGER |



| Doc. Nr | TI 49-F |
|-----------|------------|
| Rev. Date | 19.11.2025 |
| Rev. Nr | 10 |
| Page | 4/8 |

| C. Non-conformities issued to the following CCS 3.0 criteria always are |
|---|
| issued as major non-conformities: |
| a. C3.2.1 (records of materials); |
| b. C3.3 (conducting volume reconciliation); |
| c. C5.5 (contracts with associated subcontractors); |
| d. C5.6 (contracts with independently certified subcontractors); |
| e. D3.5 (avoiding commingling); |
| f. D5.1 (transport packaging); |
| g. D5.2 (transport documents); |
| h. E3.1 (list of distribution sites); |
| i. E3.2 (certification body access to distribution sites); |
| j. F1.2 (multi-site and group certification); |
| k. F5.1 (adding sites); and |
| I. F5.2 (authority/removal of sites). |
| 5. Specific requirement:If there are five or more open major |
| nonconformities, scope certificates shall be suspended immediately. |
| |
| NOTE: "Immediately" may not be feasible. |
| Guidance: Complete required actions within maximum 7 calendar days |
| from the audit's closing meeting. |

2.3 Minor Non-Conformity

| 2.3 Willion Non-Combininty | | |
|----------------------------|---|---|
| Definition | Minor non-conformities occur when a single observed lapse has been | |
| | identified in a procedure required as part of the client's management | |
| | system. | |
| Applicable Standards | All | |
| All Audits (Initial audit, | Timeline | 60 days from the audit (closing meeting) |
| recertification audit and | Consequence if not closed | Open minor nonconformities do not |
| other types of audits or | | prevent certification decisions or (re- |
| instances of conformity | | issuances) of scope certificates. |
| evaluation) | | If a minor nonconformity is not closed |
| | | within 60 days after the audit, the minor |
| | | Non-conformity is upgraded to become a |
| | | major non-conformity with a timeline of |
| | | 30 days from the original deadline. |
| | | If that upgraded major nonconformity is |
| | | not closed within 30 days, the scope |
| | | certificate shall be suspended. |
| | | If a scope certificate is suspended for 180 |
| | | days or until its expiry, whichever is |
| | | sooner, a new audit is needed before the |
| | | organization may become recertified. |
| Requirements | Minor non-conformities are i | issued in the following circumstances: |
| | 1. For requirements that are indicated as minor requirements, unless | |
| | ETKO determines that the | non-conformity is major based on the |
| | | |



| Doc. Nr | TI 49-F |
|-----------|------------|
| Rev. Date | 19.11.2025 |
| Rev. Nr | 10 |
| Page | 5/8 |

| requirements above; or |
|--|
| 2. Where the non-conformity is a temporary lapse, non-systemic, |
| limited in scale, or does not represent a fundamental failure to achieve |
| the objectives of the Standard. |
| 3. Where the standard requirement that is mentioned with "shall" is |
| partially met. |

2.4 Point of Attention

ETKO issues "Point of Attention" for the situations that do not affect the certification decision and do not need to be defined as major, minor, or critical nonconformity according to the Standard/ETKO Procedure but can be developed by the operator.

3. Specific Rules

3.1 GOTS Specific Rules Dealing with Non-conformities:

3.1.a GOTS Specific Rules regarding Certification GOTS Approval Procedure and Requirements for Certification Bodies Version 4.0

- a) Certification decisions may include requests for the correction of minor non-conformities within a specified time period. In case of major non-conformities, a certificate is withheld or suspended until the implementation of corrective actions can be demonstrated. In serious cases, certification is denied or withdrawn.
- b) Reasons for denial, withdrawal, or suspension of certification are stated with clear reference to the GOTS criteria or other certification requirements violated.
- c) In case reasons for denial or withdrawal of certification include fraudulent activities ETKO promptly notifies Global Standard gGmbH who will circulate among all approved Certification Bodies details of such operators. ETKO does not offer certification to those operators within a period specified by Global Standard gGmbH.

Auditor requests the Operator to develop a corrective action plan for any non-conformities or improvement measures and send it to ETKO within two weeks of the audit and always before the certification decision.

In case of nonconformities, a certificate is withheld until the implementation of corrective actions can be demonstrated. In serious cases, certification is denied or immediately withdrawn.

The following table outlines the classification of the audit results:

| Classification of the | Explanation | Consequences / timelines to |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| evaluation results | | address results |
| FULFILLED | If no nonconformities and no | ETKO issues a Scope Certificate |
| | improvement measures have been | within 30 calendar days of the |
| | identified. | completion of audit. |
| IMPROVEMENT | The Operator partially meets the | ETKO gives Operator a maximum of |
| MEASURES | requirements in the standard and | 45 days to address improvement |
| | only minor improvements are | measures after completion of a |

| PREPARED BY | APPROVED BY |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| QUALITY ASSURANCE RESPONSIBLE | QUALITY ASSURANCE MANAGER |



| Doc. Nr | TI 49-F |
|-----------|------------|
| Rev. Date | 19.11.2025 |
| Rev. Nr | 10 |
| Page | 6/8 |

| | needed. | renewal audit. |
|------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| | Improvements are achievable within | |
| | a short period of time, are not | |
| | systemic, are not recurrent. | |
| | The auditor documents | |
| | improvement measures in the audit | |
| | report. | |
| NOT FULFILLED | The requirement in the standard is | When nonconformities have |
| (Non-Conformity) | not fulfilled and there is a deviation. | been identified ETKO gives Operator |
| | Non conformities: last over a long | a |
| | period of time, are systemic, and | maximum timeframe of 90 |
| | compromise the integrity of GOTS | calendar days to correct such |
| | products The auditor documents | non-conformities after |
| | nonconformities in the audit report. | completion of a renewal audit. |

3.1.b GOTS Specific Rules regarding Approval GOTS Approval Procedure-5.3.3.3

- a) Reasons for denial of approval of applied inputs are stated with clear reference to the GOTS criteria or other certification requirements violated.
- b) In case reasons for denial or withdrawal or suspension of approval include fraudulent activities of the operator ETKO promptly notifies Global Standard gGmbH who will circulate among all Approved Certification Bodies details of such entities.
- c) ETKO does not offer input approval to such entities where fraudulent activities have been detected for a time period specified by Global Standard gGmbH.
- d) In case a chemical input, which was included in the latest circulated summary list needs to be removed from a 'Letter of Approval' because of non-conformities found, a notification is to be sent to the GOTS Technical Director for circulation to all Approved Certifiers. This notification includes the kind of non-conformity found so that the risk potential related to the use of this input can be estimated.

3.2 Textile Exchange Specific Rules

As described in Textile Exchange -CB contract, Textile Exchange may from time to time modify or update the ASR-101 Procedures, the Textile Exchange Standard(s), the Claims Criteria, the ASR-107 Textile Exchange Certification Fee Structure Textile Exchange Privacy Policy, and/or any related Textile Exchange policies or procedures (collectively, the "Certification Documents") in its sole discretion under the Certification Document's revision and feedback requirements for Textile Exchange. Textile Exchange notifies ETKO of any such modifications or changes, and ETKO is in turn notify its relevant Certification Clients within fourteen (14) calendar days. If any Certification Client is unable or unwilling to follow any update or modification to a Textile Exchange Standard(s) certification requirement outlined in set the Certification Documents within the conformity period prescribed by Textile Exchange, ETKO withdraw the certification of such Certification Client for the relevant Textile Exchange Standard(s).

| PREPARED BY | APPROVED BY |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| QUALITY ASSURANCE RESPONSIBLE | QUALITY ASSURANCE MANAGER |



| Doc. Nr | TI 49-F |
|-----------|------------|
| Rev. Date | 19.11.2025 |
| Rev. Nr | 10 |
| Page | 7/8 |

3.2.1 CCS Specific Rules Dealing with Non-conformities:

- In case an organization did not have documented procedures, a major non-conformity is issued for CCS-101-V3.0 Content Claim Standard, section C1.2 (documented procedures) however a minor non-conformity is issued if there are small elements of the procedures which are missing or out of date.
- ETKO does not actively evaluate legal compliance except where this is applicable to the particular Standard. However, ETKO issues a non-conformity if the organization is identified to be out of legal compliance during the audit. The non-conformity is graded as minor, major, or critical depending on the scale and importance of the legal compliance. An organization undergoes an initial audit for both GRS and RCS at the same time. A non-conformity relating to legal non-compliances is issued as part of the RCS audit. During an OCS audit, the auditor notices violations of applicable legal health and safety criteria. A non-conformity relating to legal non-compliances is issued.

NOTE: For CCS-based initial audits, nonconformity relating to material handling or volume reconciliation prevents the certification body from approving the blending, mixing, and/or processing of the claimed materials prior to the initial scope certificate issue date (CCS-102 V3.1, D2.5.3.b). (This applies to CCS-101-V3.1, sections C3 and D.) Any level of nonconformity has this effect, even minor.

3.2.2 RAF (Primary Certification) Specific Rules Dealing with Non-conformities:

Minor non-conformities for LM requirements which are issued during an initial audit, or during a farm audit for a farm which has been part of a group for less than six months are issued with a timeline of up to one year. All other minor non-conformities for Communal Farmer Groups may be issued with a timeline of up to six months.

ETKO issues major non-conformities for minor requirements if, either alone or in combination with other non-conformities, when ETKO believes they result in, or are likely to result in, a fundamental failure to achieve the objectives of the standard. Such fundamental failure is indicated by nonconformities which continue over a long period of time, are repeated or systematic, affect a wide area, or are not corrected or adequately responded to by the client once they have been identified.

When a critical non-conformity is issued to a farm for an animal welfare criterion, ETKO:

- a. Immediately removes the farm from the scope certificate or suspends the scope certificate;
- b. Notifies Textile Exchange with the farm name, region, scope certificate identification, the criterion, and the date the non-conformity was identified;
- c. Notifies the scope certificate holder, if held by a different company; and
- d. Not permits the farm to be recertified (including lifting the suspension or rejoining the group) until the later of 180 days from the date the critical non-conformity was identified. This still applies to a farm group member if the farm group scope certificate is suspended, and the suspension is lifted or the scope certificate re-issued during the specified time.

When a critical non-conformity is identified during a Farm Group or Communal Farmer Group audit, ETKO identifies the scope certificate as high risk and increases sampling for the current audit accordingly if a low or medium risk designation was in place.

| PREPARED BY | APPROVED BY |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| QUALITY ASSURANCE RESPONSIBLE | QUALITY ASSURANCE MANAGER |



| Doc. Nr | TI 49-F |
|-----------|------------|
| Rev. Date | 19.11.2025 |
| Rev. Nr | 10 |
| Page | 8/8 |

When two or more critical non-conformities are identified during a Farm Group or Communal Farmer Group audit for different farms, a major non-conformity is issued to the organization/ICS.

ETKO does not issue an Individual Farm Certification to a farm where a critical non-conformity has been issued, and where the required waiting time defined above(in item d) has not been completed, and does not allow such a farm to be included in a Farm Group or Communal Farmer Group Certification.

ETKO issues "Recommendation" which is not required to achieve or maintain certification, and which serves as guidance and is best practices in the industry. All recommended requirements are fully audited and recorded by ETKO, but do not have any effect on the certification status of the organization.